## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
Plaintiff,	
v.	Case No. 11-cr-30011-JPG
DONALD W. CLARK,	

## MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on the defendant's *pro se* letter, which the Court construes as a motion for a reduction of his criminal sentence following the retroactive application of the Fair Sentencing Act of 2010, Pub. L. 111-220; §§ 2-3, 124 Stat. 2372, 2372 (2010), as set forth in the First Step Act of 2018, Pub. L. No. 115-391, § 404, 132 Stat. 5194, 5222 (2018) (Doc. 59). Counsel, who has appeared for the defendant on a voluntary basis, has moved to withdraw on the basis that he can make no non-frivolous arguments in support of a request for reduction (Doc. 63). *See Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 744 (1967).

On April 6, 2011, a jury found the defendant guilty of one count of distribution of an unspecified amount of crack cocaine. In July 2011, the Court sentenced him to serve 210 months in prison. The Court imposed this sentence in accordance with the sentencing changes made by the Fair Sentencing Act, which had become effective August 3, 2010.

The defendant now asks the Court to reduce his sentence in light of § 404 of the First Step Act. Section 404 allows the Court to reduce a defendant's sentence for a crack cocaine

Defendant.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Section 404 of the First Step Act provides in full:

<sup>(</sup>a) DEFINITION OF COVERED OFFENSE.—In this section, the term "covered offense" means a violation of a Federal criminal statute, the statutory penalties for

offense, but only if the Court had imposed that sentence before another statute—the Fair Sentencing Act—lowered the statutory sentencing range for that crack cocaine offense. First Step Act, § 404(b). In essence, the First Step Act retroactively applies the Fair Sentencing Act's lower statutory sentencing ranges and allows the Court to bring past sentences into line with the lower ranges. However, the First Step Act prohibits the Court from entertaining a motion to reduce a sentence where the Court had previously imposed that sentence in accordance with the amendments made by §§ 2 and 3 of the Fair Sentencing Act. First Step Act § 404(c).

The Court cannot entertain the defendant's motion because it previously imposed the defendant's sentence in accordance with the amendments made by the Fair Sentencing Act, which were already effective at the time he was sentenced. Thus, he has already received the benefit of any Fair Sentencing Act's statutory sentencing range changes that may have affected him. For this reason, the Court **GRANTS** counsel's motion to withdraw (Doc. 63) and

which were modified by section 2 or 3 of the Fair Sentencing Act of 2010 (Public Law 111–220; 124 Stat. 2372), that was committed before August 3, 2010.

<sup>(</sup>b) DEFENDANTS PREVIOUSLY SENTENCED.—A court that imposed a sentence for a covered offense may, on motion of the defendant, the Director of the Bureau of Prisons, the attorney for the Government, or the court, impose a reduced sentence as if sections 2 and 3 of the Fair Sentencing Act of 2010 (Public Law 111–220; 124 Stat. 2372) were in effect at the time the covered offense was committed.

<sup>(</sup>c) LIMITATIONS.—No court shall entertain a motion made under this section to reduce a sentence if the sentence was previously imposed or previously reduced in accordance with the amendments made by sections 2 and 3 of the Fair Sentencing Act of 2010 (Public Law 111–220; 124 Stat. 2372) or if a previous motion made under this section to reduce the sentence was, after the date of enactment of this Act, denied after a complete review of the motion on the merits. Nothing in this section shall be construed to require a court to reduce any sentence pursuant to this section.

**TERMINATES** counsel in this case. The Court further **DISMISSES** the defendant's *pro se* motion for a sentence reduction based on the First Step Act's retroactive application of the Fair Sentencing Act (Doc. 59).

IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: June 10, 2020

s/ J. Phil Gilbert

J. PHIL GILBERT

DISTRICT JUDGE